BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2017-2-E

)	
In re: Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs for South Carolina Electric & Gas Company))	MOTION TO COMPEL
Zicenie & Gus Company)	
)	
	_)	

Pursuant to 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-829 (Supp. 2003) and Rule 7 SCRCP, Intervenors South Carolina Coastal Conservation League and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy hereby move this Commission for an order to compel South Carolina Electric & Gas ("SCE&G") to comply with Commission Order No. 2018-55, dated January 24, 2018. In support of this Motion, Intervenors would show the following:

- 1. In last year's fuel cost docket, Docket No. 2017-2-E, the Commission granted SCE&G's request to update avoided cost rates every six months, finding that "this process reflects a reasonable and appropriate balance between the need to update rates to reflect updated information in the Company's future capacity needs while also providing rate stability and continuity." Order No. 2017-246 at 22 (April 27, 2017).
- 2. On December 22, 2017, SCE&G requested a waiver of its obligation to file a sixmonth update to avoided cost rates, citing uncertainty due to recent events such as the abandonment of new nuclear units at V.C. Summer Station. SCE&G also expressed its intent to implement changes to its avoided cost calculation related to how the Company calculates capacity value, which the Company stated would be more appropriately considered in the annual fuel proceeding.

- 3. In Intervenors' January 16, 2018 response objecting to SCE&G's waiver request, Intervenors expressed concern that there would be significant proposed changes to the methodology that would be inconsistent with federal law, and in violation of the settlement reached by various parties after the passage of Act 236. The primary relief sought by Intervenors was for the Commission to order SCE&G to "file its six-month update to the PR-2 rate within the next two weeks, containing updated energy and capacity values that comply with the methodology laid out by this Commission in past orders."
- 4. In the alternative, if the Commission were to grant SCE&G's request for a waiver, Intervenors asked for the following relief: "at the very least the utility must file its six month update on February 23, 2018, the date by which it is required to file its testimony in the 2018 fuel cost docket." Intervenors went on to ask that the filing "be based on the prior approved methodology, without any unapproved and unilateral changes made by the Company."
- 5. On January 24, 2018, this Commission issued Order No. 2018-55 in Docket 2017-2-E, granting SCE&G's request for a waiver. The Commission agreed that uncertainty driven by recent events, as well as judicial economy, warranted addressing the issues raised in the context of the annual fuel proceeding. The Commission also noted that any proposed changes to avoided cost calculations should be considered in the next annual proceeding.
- 6. In that Order, the Commission also adopted the alternative relief made by Intervenors in their objection to SCE&G's waiver. The Commission's Order stated: "One point the Coastal Conservation League made in its response was a request to require SCE&G to put that proposed rate in its prefiled testimony in the fuel proceeding, and I agree that we ought to require SCE&G to do that."

- 7. Based on a plain reading of the Commission's Order, then, on February 23, 2018, SCE&G was obligated to file not only its 2018 rates (which the Commission anticipated would include underlying changes to inputs), but also "that proposed rate" at issue in the waiver request: SCE&G's <u>updated six-month PR-2 rate</u>, which would <u>not</u> reflect any changes to methodology but rather would be based on methods approved by the Commission in prior orders.
- 8. Contrary to the Commission's order, it does not appear that SCE&G filed this PR-2 updated rate in either Docket 2017-2-E or Docket 2018-2-E. Rather, it appears that the methodology utilized by SCE&G to calculate avoided cost values in its testimony filed on February 23, 2018 has been significantly altered from the methodology that was approved by this Commission last year in Docket 2017-2-E. Docket No. 2017-2-E, Order No. 2017-246 at 21-23, 28-29, 34, 52-53, 55, Apr. 27, 2017. Specifically, last year SCE&G used a capacity valuation methodology where it first calculated the capacity value over a 15-year planning period using a difference in revenue requirement methodology. Then it allocated that capacity value based on the frequency with which load was within 95% of seasonal peak. This year, the Company took the position that QF capacity has no value at all because it does not provide peak contribution in the winter. This is a completely different methodology that has profound impacts on the capacity value of qualifying facilities.
- 9. This change is but one illustration of the myriad ways that SCE&G has altered not only the <u>updated inputs</u> to its methodology for calculating avoided cost values, as one would expect, but has fundamentally altered the actual methodology itself.
- 10. Further, it appears that all of the avoided cost numbers filed on that date incorporate similar changes to the underlying methodology. To date, and by necessity of due process, no new avoided cost methodology has been approved by the Commission. Absent

approval of a new methodology, the currently-approved avoided cost methodology must be applied exactly, without change to any parameters except for updated data. SCE&G, having been directed by Order 2017-246 to follow this methodology, and having been directed by Order 2018-55 to file its tariff update on February 23, 2018, has failed to follow the Commission's orders.

11. South Carolina law mandates that "[e]ach electrical utility . . . must obey and comply with all requirements of every order, decision, direction, rule or regulation made or prescribed by the Public Service Commission or every direction, rule, or regulation made or prescribed by the Office of Regulatory Staff pursuant to this chapter or in relation to any other matter relating to or affecting the business of the electrical utility . . . and must do everything necessary or proper to comply with and observe every order, decision, direction, rule, or regulation by all of its officers, agents and employees." Section 58-27-40.

WHEREFORE, Intervenors respectfully request that the Commission issue an order compelling SCE&G to comply with its January 24 Order by filing an updated PR-2 rate based on approved methodology within seven days; that the Commission grant parties in Docket 2018-2-E the right to file supplemental testimony related to SCE&G's filing once it has been made; that the Commission expedite its consideration of this motion in light of its impact on issues currently being considered in Docket 2018-2-E, which is set for hearing on April 10, 2018; and that the Commission order any other appropriate action the Commission may deem necessary.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of March, 2018.

s/ J. Blanding Holman, IV

J. Blanding Holman, IV SC Bar No. 72260 Southern Environmental Law Center 463 King St., Suite B Charleston, SC 29403 Telephone: (843) 720-5270 Fax: (843) 720-5240

Attorney for Petitioners South Carolina Coastal Conservation League and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the parties listed below have been served via electronic mail with a copy of the Motion to Compel of the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy.

Andrew M. Bateman
Jeffrey M. Nelson
Office of Regulatory Staff
1401 Main Street, Suite 900
Columbia, SC 29201
abateman@regstaff.sc.gov
jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov

Benjamin P. Mustian Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A. Post Office Box 8416 Columbia, SC 29202 bmustian@willoughbyhoefer.com

K. Chad Burgess
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
220 Operation Way - MC C222
Cayce, SC 29033
chad.burgess@scana.com

Benjamin L. Snowden Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton, LLP 4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1400 Raleigh, NC 27609 bsnowden@kilpatricktownsend.com Richard L. Whitt Timothy F. Rogers Austin & Rogers, P.A. 508 Hampton Street, Suite 300 Columbia, SC 29201 rlwhitt@austinrogerspa.com

Scott Elliott Elliott & Elliot, P.A. 1508 Lady Street Columbia, SC 29201 selliott@elliottlaw.us

Charles L.A. Terreni Terreni Law Firm, LLC 1508 Lady Street Columbia, SC 29201 charles.terreni@terrenilaw.com

Mitchell Willoughby Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A. Post Office Box 8416 Columbia, SC 29202 mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com

Stephanie U. Eaton
Derrick Price Williamson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1100 Bent Creek Blvd., Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
seaton@spilmanlaw.com
dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

This 20th day of March, 2018.

s/ Anna M. Crowder